IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

ALBERT WOODS and NARDA WOODS,

Plaintiffs,

v.

No. 16-cv-1041 LAM/SMV

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, JAMES WELLS, and JOSHUA CERNA,

Defendants.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

THIS MATTER is before the Court sua sponte. Plaintiffs filed their Complaint on

September 20, 2016. [Doc. 1]. Plaintiffs had 90 days from filing the Complaint or until

December 19, 2016, to effect service of process. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) (2015). That date has

passed. Although Plaintiffs attempted to serve the United States via its Attorney General in

Washington, D.C., on September 27, 2016, [Doc. 5], service on the Attorney General alone is not

sufficient; the United States Attorney for the relevant district must also be served. Fed. R. Civ.

P. 4(i); Constein v. United States, 628 F.3d 1207, 1213 (10th Cir. 2010). There is no indication

on the record that Plaintiffs have served the relevant United States Attorney. Thus, Plaintiffs

have failed to effectuate timely service on the United States.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiffs show good cause why their claims

against Defendant United States should not be dismissed without prejudice for failure to comply

with the service provision of Rule 4(m). See Espinoza v. United States, 52 F.3d 838, 841 (10th

Cir. 1995). Plaintiffs must file their response no later than **January 19, 2017**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

PHAN M. VIDMAR

United States Magistrate Judge